1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	CULTURAL COMMISSION
8	COUNTY OF MAUI
9	STATE OF HAWAII
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	REGULAR MEETING
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Held at the Planning Department Conference Room, Kalana
20	Pakui Building, 250 South High Street, Wailuku, Maui,
21	Hawaii, commencing at 10:00 a.m., March 3, 2011.
22	
23	
24	
25	REPORTED BY: Rachelle Primeaux CSR No. 370

APPEARANCES
CHAIRMAN:
ERIK FREDERICKSEN
COMMISSIONERS:
RAY HUTAFF, VICE-CHAIR
BRANDIS SARICH BRUCE U'U
VERONICA MARQUEZ RHIANNON CHANDLER
MITANION CHANDILIN
·
CORPORATION COUNSEL:
MICHAEL HOPPER, ESQ.
STAFF:
WILLIAM SPENCE, DIRECTOR
MICHELE McCLEAN, DEPUTY DIRECTOR STANLEY SOLAMILLO, PLANNER
SUZETTE ESMERALDA, COMMISSION SECRETARY

MAUI COUNTY CULTURAL COMMISSION TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Good morning, all. I would like to call the March 2011 Maui County Cultural Resources Commission meeting to order. Let's see, first item of business is a resolution thanking outgoing Commission Member Veronica Marquez. Stanley.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Aloha, Commissioners.

COMMISSIONERS: Aloha.

MR. SOLAMILLO: This is a resolution of the Cultural Resources Commission.

Whereas, Veronica Marquez has served the County of Maui since April 2006 as a member of the Cultural Resources Commission.

And whereas, Ms. Marquez has served with distinction and performed her duties in the highest professional manner with the Cultural Resources Commission.

And whereas, Ms. Marquez's term of office expires on March 31st, 2011. Now, therefore, be it resolved that the Maui County Cultural Resources Commission hereby commends Ms. Marquez for her dedication and her public service to the people of Maui County.

And furthermore, be it resolved that the Cultural Resources Commission expresses their sincere appreciation

for Ms. Marquez's services and extends their best wishes in her future endeavors; and furthermore, be it resolved that copies of this resolution be transported to the Honorable Alan Arakawa, Mayor of the County of Maui, and the Honorable Danny Mateo, Council Chair of the Maui County Council.

2.3

2.4

And it is signed by Erik Fredericksen, Chair, Ray Hutaff, Vice Chair and the rest of this Commission.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thank you, Stanley. I would like to thank Verna big time for her presence on the Commission. You've been a delight in your insights and very, very welcome in keeping us honest from your educator's background.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Thank you so much.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I would like to ditto that and add that I am extremely disappointed that I'm not going to be able to look across the way and have you challenge me with your looks.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: The eye. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Yeah. Thank you so much. I think it's so valuable the mana'o you bring from Molokai because I think we don't think about it enough, and we're learning that. And I think that's going to change because of you and your presence in all that you wanted to bring to your island, so I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Thank you. Sweet.

COMMISSIONER U'U: I'll also want to echo that what was brought up about the dedication. It's hard enough living on island to serve on any commission, but when you come from a different island like Molokai and you serve on a commission as faithfully as she has for the last year that I've been on, you've got to give big time credit. So, I give you a lot of credit. And thanks for the wisdom in representing your island. You did a great job.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Thank you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Brandis, Verna, this is her last meeting, and if you would like to say anything.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Thank you. Sorry I'm late, everybody. Verna, it's going to be really sad not sitting next to you. I have I've felt very safe with you next to me because you have a lot of wisdom, and you can give me the little look if I'm saying something funny. I appreciate it, so we'll miss you.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Yeah. May I?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yes, Verna, please.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Nice. This has been like a family. I've been on five years. I've seen people come and go, and go and come. But I'm proud that I lasted five years. Poor Stanley probably wanted to have me out a long time ago, but I was determined. I learned a lot. I

learned -- I learned a lot, especially across this way. I'r going to miss this challenge, but I'm glad I had the opportunity to come and share, come and listen, come and learn. And I know the mission of this mana'o is to protect and serve the cultural sites; however, we still have the human essence involved here.

2.0

So, thank you. I would like to look at you when you come across with your mana'o. And you, young man, keep going. You always do. Thank you my stink-eye friend across. Thank you, Rhiannon. James was a little creative, so give my aloha. And Erik, moving on being the Chair and all, don't worry, anytime you're out, that man is right here.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Excellent.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: And Stanley, thank you so much. Suzie in the back, thank you. And one more thing. I tell you, I know we're volunteers, and I have to say this. They fly me over. Well, yeah, they have to, and so I make the best of it. So, sometimes I stay over, and they'll tell me when do you want your flight back. It's so totally cool that they'll do all that arrangement. So, from my heart to the County's heart -- she's writing everything down, so I've got to be nice -- I like they treat you as a volunteer, but they treat you as a human.

And they really ask you now do you need a ride, do

you need -- what do you need? Do you need a pickup, a drop-off? And like today, I'm moving on to Kona because of my mom's passing, and they were kind enough to do all those arrangements for the volunteers. So, I'm telling people at home it's not as bad as it sounds. Because, you know, Molokai is a stepchild, which will change. And a lot of people don't want to do this, because it's, oh, humbug. You know us kua'aina, we don't drive on the island.

And I said you don't have to. You've got the limousines. You got your furs. You got people doing all your resume. And they look at me like, for real? I'm like, for real. Well, yeah, almost 99 percent. And I'm proud to know that somebody will be here in my place, because we talk story. And I know she got excited somehow I think, so there will be somebody here. And on that note mahalo ia oe ko. Mahalo for all of your mana'o. And all of you sitting out there, nice to see all your smiles. And with that note, moving on.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: All right, Verna. Thanks once again. Let's see, let's go to Item C. Stanley.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Item C is the introduction of Deputy Director Michele McLean, who is sitting on the side.

MS. McLEAN: Aloha Commissioners. Good morning.

My name is Michele McLean. I'm the new Deputy Director of
the Planning Department. And I just wanted to introduce

myself and give you a little bit of my background. Let's see, years ago, from the mid '90s into the early 2000's, I worked for the County Council as a legislative analyst, working for what was then the planning committee that handled all planning issues. Right now the Council has three committees. But back then, it was just one doing community plans, land use changes. We did the Ag bill, the Rural bill, the first Bed and Breakfast bill. So, I was the analyst who staffed the committee at that time.

So, that's where I received my background, experience in planning. And I left the County and did my own consulting for a time working for just smaller applicants, smaller projects, worked also for a short time for a developer. And then left to join the Kahoolawe Island Reserve Commission, which is a state agency within the Department of Land and Natural Resources doing the management and restoration of the Kahoolawe Island Reserve.

I was the Deputy Director there for a little more than five years and left that to come join the Planning Department. When I worked for the County previously, Will Spence was a staff planner, and I worked closely with him on a few projects, and we got to be friends and maintained that friendship. And so, it was very exciting to think about coming back to the County working on planning issues again and working with Will.

So, I'm very glad to be here, very excited about the huge variety that the Planning Department and would like to make myself available to any of you if there's anything we can do with the -- at the Deputy or Director level. And I also did want to discuss if you're so interested the reason for not having this meeting on Molokai as the Commission voted last time around. That was a decision that Will and I made, and we did explain that in a letter back to you folks.

But I did want to be here in order to respond to any questions you might have about that. It was purely an administrative decision. And also because we do know that the Commission will need to be going to Molokai later this year quite a few times, and so we wanted to ensure that those meetings would be full and productive and just do it when the time is right. And I guess that's all I have to say. Thank you for allowing me to introduce myself.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yes, Ray.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: You want to go first?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Just a comment. Thank you for the letter. Because I think the biggest issue we had was going. And the fact that we don't get to go when we wanted to go is really not that big of an issue for us here I think, if I can speak for them. It's getting Molokai on

an agenda.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

2 MS. McLEAN: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: And thank you for the letter, because you've basically put it on the agenda. And I think you're right. We need to have a real focus on why we're going, so we can be very productive. Thank you.

MS. McLEAN: You're welcome, and I do hope though you will then be a former Commissioner, you will be able to join us when we do go over there.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: You know, this is a good thing. Nothing is perfect. So, this is a step in the right direction. Of course, I wanted the meeting today, selfish reasons; however, Molokai will be Molokai. Whether we're there or not, it still will go forward. And when this Commission comes over, let me know. If I'm on the planet, I'll be there.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: You'll be there.

COMMISSIONER U'U: Just a question.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, Bruce.

COMMISSIONER U'U: And I think this is a question more to Verna. Have you ever, in the last five years, has a meeting been held on Molokai?

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: 'A'ole, no.

MS. McLEAN: And I do understand that should happen annually, and we will see that that does happen

annually at least.

COMMISSIONER U'U: So, I would like to add that that I would like to thank you for taking a step in the right direction. For five years that not going on the island, I had no idea. And obviously, I do now, and now we have some scheduled meetings. So, I know that it's a change of administration, and it's a procedure you guys need to go through, but might be going in the right direction right now.

So, thank you for bringing the life and the importance that we need as a body to be on that island and not treat it as a stepchild.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: And the thing is, too, you know, we're county. I mean we are part of the County, although some people think otherwise. And the thing is, I think according to our Commission and vision and policy, that we're supposed to also be having those meetings on site.

I understand coming over with mana'o, you know, purposeful, of course. You know, but however there is always something on the island that we -- we have that should be looked into. And Stanley did a lot of research on that, so I do look forward to seeing the Commission.

Mahalo.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: And I would also like to add

that we probably should kind of apologize to Stanley, 2 because we did put him in the middle. And you know --3 COMMISSIONER U'U: I agree. 4 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: It will be the last time I 5 apologize for that. 6 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions or 7 comments for Michele? Thank you, Michele. And I like your 8 energy, and thank you once again for introducing yourself. 9 MS. McLEAN: You're welcome. Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Appreciate it. 11 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Let's see, Item D, approval 12 of minutes of the October 7th, 2010 meeting. Any Commission 13 Members have comments or --14 COMMISSIONER SARICH: I just haven't been able to 15 read them all. And I would like to read them before 16 approving them, so I don't know how that works. 17 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: So we could defer. 18 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah. Would you please? 19 didn't get this until Monday night, and I didn't get a 20 chance to go through it. 21 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Anyone want to make a motion? 22 Bruce. 23 COMMISSIONER U'U: Motion to defer. 24 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Second. 25 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: All those in favor.

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

2.0

MR. HOPPER: Just so you know, the minutes are required to be made available to the public 30 days from the meeting, approved or not. The Department will have to make a draft available for the record and mark it as a draft, but that's the Sunshine Law requirement of 30 days after the meeting.

So, whether or not you approve it, yeah, it's got to be made available.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Where is it available?

Because I looked on the web site, and we've missed a few.

There's no minutes on the web site.

MR. HOPPER: They don't necessarily have to be on the web site, but someone should be able to get a copy of --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: If they request it.

MR. HOPPER: -- either the minutes in draft or form, or at the very least, the tape recording of the meeting.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Okay, cool. Thanks.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: All right. Before we proceed any further, does anyone in the audience have any time constraints and need to testify on one of the agenda items? If you do, come forward, and state your name. Otherwise, if you can wait until the particular agenda item comes up,

that's fine.

But if you do need to take off and want to have that opportunity, go ahead and come on up. State your name, please.

MS. MORRISON: Good morning. My name is Theo Morrison. I'm the Executive Director of the Lahaina Restoration Foundation.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Good morning.

MS. MORRISON: Good morning. I came here today to thank you for deferring the decision to demolish the house on Prison Street. We are now -- as a result of that, the family came to me, and we went to see them at the house. And we are currently in negotiations to save the house.

And what the family said to me that day was, as a result -- this isn't a direct quote -- as a result of the CRC meeting, we started to think about restoration. So, I give you guys credit for doing -- giving the family the time to think about an option. And I also would like to thank Stanley, because he is just -- has undying faith that we will be able to save every single building, which, of course, we can't. But without that belief, we wouldn't save any.

And we also have that same belief. And we go after that, so it was just -- it was rather -- it was really a magic moment, because we went to that house -- we meaning

myself and my operations guy who is our restoration guy, thinking that we would just be getting door knobs and window panes. And it's turned out that they actually would want to save the house, and we're going to help them do that.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Theo, that sounds great. Are they going to move the house or save it on property?

MS. MORRISON: Yeah. We haven't done any final — we have a meeting coming up this Friday, but basically, the idea that they would be leasing it to us, and we would use it as like an educational museum—type facility. And we would restore the house. The lease would be probably for a dollar a year or something. But the basic idea would be the restoration of the house.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Nice, creative solution.

MS. MORRISON: Yeah. And I mean I understand we're, you know, right -- we just restored the Pioneer Mill smoke stack. And when we did that, we did this event out there called Plantation Days. And we asked the community for artifacts. And it took a while, and then all of the sudden, we were like flooded with artifacts. And we told the community we were going to build a museum some day with the artifacts.

Well, within nine months, we opened a museum because we had so much stuff. I had no idea about all this stuff. And most of the stuff would have been thrown away.

So, it's actually this is the time. We are the people that will save or not save the plantation era. And, you know, it's easy for us, because we grew up with it. We think it's just an old house. We don't see it like the Bailey Home — I mean, well, the Bailey Home. Also, like the Baldwin Home. Those were obviously historic houses, because they're so old.

But in 100 years -- you know, I mean this house we're talking about now is over 100 years old. Another 100 years, it will be 200 years old. So, we are the people that will save this era. So, I just want to thank you for deferring that decision and letting this opportunity happen, so thanks.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thanks, Theo. Any other -- any comments? Yes. State your name, please.

MS. JORGENSEN: Aloha, Commissioners. Good morning. My name is Mary Jorgensen, and I just recently bought a house at -- on Prison Street right across from this house. So, this is wonderful news to hear that this is going to be restored.

To me, it's like a model plantation house on that street, which is very small houses, and has a lot of potential to, you know, maintain that era of, you know, the houses have not been restored. And so, this will set a model for the other property owners along that street, which

as, you know, being Prison Street, we're all within a block of the historic prison. So, it's a very -- it's very wonderful to hear this decision that you did. And I just want to thank you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thanks so much. Anyone else?
Any comments?

Okay, Stanley.

1.7

Oh, we can have Will say hi. Got you, Will.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Commissioners, my name is Will Spence. I'm the new Planning Director, and I'm very happy to meet you. I understand you've already discussed the item about going to Molokai. And to reiterate, we do want the Commission to go to Molokai. We want, you know, to formulate some very specific things for you to go take a look at.

I used to be one of the staff planners for Molokai and have many fond memories of going there, and not always of the hours sitting in front of the Commission, but, you know, it's a wonderful island. I always look forward to going there. So, we will want you very much to comment on the draft Molokai Community Plan and the resources that are there. So, but I very much look forward to working with you. I have a lot of confidence in the staff.

I have a lot of confidence in, you know, what you bring to the table. So, any questions of me, you know, feel

free to give me a call or, you know, talk to Stanley and go get to me. We'll work with each other really well.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thanks, Will.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Thanks, Erik.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: When I was on the CRC the first time, we did -- Will was a planner for Molokai, and we went over there two or three times while I was on the Commission the first time. So, I have full faith that we will be over there a second time.

thank you for the letter, because it shows a commitment, which is really what we were trying to do, even though we put Stan in the middle. I told him I would never apologize again for putting him in the middle. But your openness to all that is warming I think to all of us here at the -- on the Commission just to know that it's something that is definitely planned. That's just nice. That's real nice. Thank you.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: And it really only came to Michele and my attention that I guess it's the charter or maybe that says that, you know, you're going to go to Molokai once a year, unknown to us, so until we started looking at some of those things. But we do really want to have a purpose.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yes.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: You know, to expend taxpayer's funds and all that. So, I'm -- I understand this next trip has already been paid for, but --

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Well, let's make it really worthwhile. I happen to know the guy.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Hopefully, my schedule will permit going with you, because I would like to go.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Cool.

2.2

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Rhiannon.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Good morning, Director.

Thank you very much for being here. The only thing I wanted to add is I understand there are going to be some really large projects that come through in the next year. And we've been anticipating that large development in south Maui, the Honua'ula project coming across our table eventually.

And I just wanted to request that we have really ample time to review any documents that come to us for the cultural resources review, because that area is so extensive. I haven't personally done any research outside of this Commission, because I want to just wait, you know, for the information that comes. But I also -- typically, we get our minutes and things about a week or within a week before the meeting. And that's no fault of the staffers. I'm not saying anything about that.

I just want to say if it is a very big project, I think all of us understand the weight of the decisions that we make and how much that affects the lives of other people and past people and things like that. And so, I just want to request that. Thank you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thanks, Rhiannon.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: And we can certainly accommodate some of those kinds of requests. I'm not familiar with what's going on with Honua'ula, formerly Wailea 670. Okay. I know that that was -- they received zoning. I'm not sure what is coming back before this Commission. But, you know, if there is -- if there are things coming back before the Commission, we can make arrangements to send it, you know, earlier, at least as portions.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah. Will, I think from my memory when I was on the CRC the first time, and it came before the Commission then -- and thank you for bringing that up, Rhiannon -- there were some community concerns with the level of archeological work that had been undertaken on the property. And some of the -- some of the comments that I recall were basically addressing the lack of overall comprehensive look at the entire parcel.

It had been done piecemeal. And so, I believe that was one of the concerns that was not -- had not really been answered when we got it back. Because it was -- it was

deferred. And so, we never really looked at what there was.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Okay. I'll find out what's being -- what's triggering further review or whatever it is, and we'll get back to you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: One more comment.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: It's just a great honor to have the deputy and yourself here at the same time, so I wanted to say another challenge that we have is the Lahaina NHL, I think a lot of the residents don't understand that they live in a historic area for whatever reason. And so, I'll just say when people come to the Commission, a lot of times they've done -- they've already done things to their building that they didn't know they shouldn't.

And we've talked about all kinds of things from having like an officer, a historic officer in town, or there's just so many things. I don't know. With budgetary constraints, we absolutely understand that. But if there's any educational campaign or any kind of outreach that can be done for people who live in the area or businesses in the area. Even if it's a onetime reminder every five years that there's a design criteria, there's a -- you know, there's restrictions for all of these things.

I think it would save some people a lot of time, possibly money and us time in debating with them when, you know, I think they didn't understand a ruling. And, Stan,

if you have anything to add, but that's a huge challenge for us. I just wanted you to know.

2.4

DIRECTOR SPENCE: We are going to be working with the landmark. I mean clearly there are resources being -- that are in danger of being lost. But clearly, there are a lot of homes and things that have nothing to do with the historic character of Lahaina.

So, you know, we're going to be looking at some of those things. We already have some preliminary discussions of what are the issues. And a lot of the -- a lot of the last two months have just been coming up to speed for Michele and I, you know, what has staff been thinking, what has been on the back burner, what needs to come forward. So, we're working with all those issues.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Thank you.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Sure.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other comments or questions? Brandis.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Hi. Thank you for introducing yourself. I'm Brandis Sarich. I just wanted to ask you when you say that buildings that don't impact the historic quality of Lahaina what you mean by that?

DIRECTOR SPENCE: Just like just north of Puamana and some of those newer subdivisions that were built I think in the '70s. Nothing historic about those, nothing

contributing to the character of the landmark. You know, how are we going to treat some of the historic issues because just by virtue of being in the landmark. So, I am not an advocate of putting people through big, long permitting processes for absolutely no reason.

If there's a good reason to do it, you know, let's get those issues out on the table and discuss them. If they're -- if there's no reason to do it, let's not do it. You know, staff has plenty to do. We all have plenty to do. I would rather get into meaty issues rather than nonsubstantive simply because of regulatory glitches.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Thank you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I would -- the one comment I have on that, and I do agree, but the one comment that I would have on that is you could have a, quote, unquote, newer building in an area. But if it's in a historically significant area, basically most of Lahaina, all of Lahaina is, we don't know what is necessarily subsurface. So, that regulatory -- and I know you know this, Will. I'm just saying it for the record.

That regulatory process that would still need to be followed would be the State Historic Preservation

Division feedback, comments typically which would be monitoring.

DIRECTOR SPENCE: The things that I look at are

like if somebody wants to add a bedroom onto their house, or 2 even easier, they want to remodel the kitchen, that triggers 3 a building permit. What kind of historic review should that 4 go through? Virtually nothing. But by virtue of being in the landmark, we have to make some kind of determination. 5 6 It's just that kind of stuff is not necessary. 7 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions or 8 comments? 9 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Big mahalos. Thank you for 10 being here. CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah. Good to see you, Will. 11 12 And glad you're in the position. 13 DIRECTOR SPENCE: Thank you. Look forward to 14 working with everybody. 15 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Take care, Will. 16 Moving on. Item E, Demolition. Stanley. 17 MR. SOLAMILLO: Good morning, Commissioners. Again, another popular topic. Demolition. The first case, 18 19 Mr. Shayne Agawa on behalf of the Richard Agawa Trust for a 20 property that was proposed for demolition at 255 Prison

Street has for the moment been withdrawn pending discussions

opportunity to commend Theo Morrison and Lahaina Restoration

with Lahaina Restoration Foundation. And I'll take this

partners, without whom all our endeavors to save the NHL

Foundation, because they are, in essence, our private

21

22

23

24

25

would probably be impossible.

The next item is Mr. David Victor on behalf of the State of Hawaii, Department of Accounting and General Services, requesting review and comment on the demolition of a plantation era administration and classroom building located at 189 West Kaahumanu Avenue, TMK 2-3-7-004:003 in Kahului, Maui.

The CRC may provide comments and recommendations. Public testimony will be accepted. If you would like to hit the lights for me, Mr. Chair, we can go to the presentation. First of all, I want to commended David Victor, because he came out to the site and met with me. And he unfortunately is with a different department than the Department of Education, and he gets the dirty work of having to take down historic buildings. So, we have to commend him for being willing to listen and to talk to us and to be here today.

On that note, Kahului, and I think some of you heard before, in 1900 after Honolulu was -- the China Town in Honolulu was burned to the ground, the same folks who were responsible for that came to Kahului, and they did it under the same auspices of suspected bubonic plague cases. I think two or three were confirmed, and they burned down China Town here at the harbor. And out of the ashes of that came Kahului as we kind of know it today, although it's been changed with the adding of Dream City.

Essentially, the block that's identified with an arrow is the Chinese business district in 1900, which was later moved outside of the harbor area and redeveloped strictly for use including lumber and warehousing as well as for Kahului Railroad locomotives and moving freight. Much of the older buildings, we can only glean images out of documents that have been produced in Japanese language books.

2.2

And so, we just get glimpses of what was here at one time, but it was a pretty substantial commercial district that developed after the fire and continued to develop throughout the early historic period. Kahului Railroad was the dominant business in town. And all the development of any kind of housing, educational facilities was subservient to the railroad company. And remember this railroad company was the only one on island who transported all the sugar, all the supplies and all the pineapple.

It was also responsible for getting children to school and a variety of other service transportation uses. This is a series of oblique and ground views of Kahului, which was taken out when Dream City was developed. And these occurred around 1930. I think the middle shot was after we had a big flood. But it was a very different town, and we had an intact grid. And camps, as I said earlier, were pretty much relegated to housing employees of Kahului

Railroad and well as for the merchant community that developed there.

Kahului Elementary School developed into a fairly large and substantial campus. This is a shot of a brick building I believe, which was built in 1927. And one of the graduating classes I think for 1930, and everybody is neat and proper. It was a substantial campus. Unfortunately, we have extremely little history on this place.

I think this is a Harland Bartholomew map, which was done prior to the construction of Dream City. And it shows the school lot as it appears in 1959 as well as the grid for the town at that time. This is a great aerial photograph taken in 1971. It shows Kahului School in 1927. A work progress administration perimeter wall that was built in 1938, Kahului Shopping Center that was built in 1959, and the camps that were left as remnants adjacent to the commercial shopping areas and the school.

An indeterminate time since this aerial photograph was taken in 1971, eight buildings had been demolished on this site. So, what we're left with is kind of a skeleton of what the original facilities were. The proposal that is coming to you today for recommendations of demolition, are two buildings and they're located where it's shown with an X.

The first one I believe was used as an

administration building, although it's had several uses.

And the second one is a classroom building, and it too has had a lot of uses since the campus was actually closed, and the elementary school and middle school moved elsewhere. As things usually are here on Maui without having a historical record, things are not as they appear.

And I think at this time when we are in an update of the Cultural Resource Management Plan and dealing with the property types as they are territorial school buildings say for basic dates, 1910 to 1940, we find that we have a lot of school buildings that are kind of in the same position.

The significance for these building is usually under Criteria A or C, that being education and ethnic history and architecture. On Lanai, they have one school building, which was moved towards preservation over a decade ago and unimproved, and it essentially is a demo by neglect. We have teachers' cottages that were demolished over time on Lanai as well.

On Molokai, in Kalanianaole settlement, there's a school that's located there, and it also has been kind of left in an abandoned state, which essentially is a demolition by neglect.

On Maui, we have Old Kahului Elementary School campus. Six buildings have been demolished. Two additional

buildings have been proposed for demolition. At Puunene School, we have one National Register listed school building, which is concrete. And we have four wood school buildings, which are unlisted and unimproved, and essentially again demolition by neglect. The ownership of all these buildings varies from public to private.

2.0

2.4

This one is listed on the National Register, and that's Kaupo School. It was built in 1922, and as I made the comment earlier, things were not as you would expect. There was something familiar when I first looked at this building a couple of years ago when I was in the field. And it only recently, when we got this new batch of demolitions started to coalesce in trying to find out what looked familiar about this building to me.

And I found out that I had seen these buildings before, and these particular buildings were built from 1915 through 1938 or '39 across the south by Rosenwald Schools. These two photographs come from a Board of Education publication I think from 1920. And they showed that everybody, all the kids were kind of labeled. So, on the top photograph, everybody's ethnicity is kind of called out. The objective for education at that time was for Americanization as well as for basic math and reading skills.

And by 1924, there was a court case involving

Japanese language schools, because the Territory essentially wanted to shut them down. Throughout the south, after 1900, black students were lucky to get an educational at all. So, what happened was the president of Sears Roebuck & Company got together with Tuskegee Institute and began the project of funding black schools and black school programs over 15 states.

This grew into the Bureau of Education, which was centered in Nashville, Tennessee. And by 1920, they were taking the plans that were developed under the Rosenwald program and mailing them all over the United States and to the Territories of Alaska, as well as Hawaii. Under the auspices of health and sanitation alone, the Board of Health of the Territory of Hawaii was in correspondence with the U.S. Bureau of Education in Nashville. And there was a survey of school buildings that was undertaken in 1920.

Our buildings are a little bit different. We have architectural precedence, which were established by 1900. They included a full-length lanai and then the enclosing of the ends of a lanai for storage. These were, the top picture and the bottom picture, of Soong Fat Store in Waikapu, 1909. This kind of transformation of a building also occurred on the familiar kauhale of Hawaiian families, and you've seen this before. This was a kauhale which was photographed in Wailuku in 1904, and it showed that we had a

full-length lanai, but we also had enclosing portions of a the lanai for storage and other uses.

2.2

By the turn of the century, at least by 1900 to 1901, the top photo was taken in 1904, this is pretty much an established building type on the island of Maui. This continues all the way to the '20s. The top photograph is Kaupo Store taken in 1901, which shows the lanai with two enclosed ends.

The thing which is demonstrative of any kind of Rosenwald influence is usually called the window bank, which is a series of tall windows, either five or six windows which have been ganged together. And the reason why they were ganged together was because most of the schools that were built under the Rosenwald program were not electrified. And the schools were oriented to take advantage of the sun and provide a maximum amount of sunlight.

This is a picture of Julius Rosenwald and Booker

T. Washington at Tuskegee, and this was a map of the 15

states in the south, which received 5,300 Rosenwald schools.

The National Trust in 2002 recognized that Rosenwald schools were disappearing, and they placed the schools on their eleven most endangered list in that year. It's now estimated that out of 5,300 Rosenwald schools built from 1914 to 1938, no more than 800 remain.

Rosenwald schools have plenty of variation. I

think there's almost 300 floor plans. And at this point, we haven't had any time to actually go into any serious and in-depth study of the school buildings that we have so that we can actually compare floor plan to floor plan. The work for Hawaii and for all the schools that have been built since 1900 hasn't really been prepared to document the development of school buildings throughout the entire archipelago.

1.7

So, for the moment, we will look at the facts that the Interstate School Building Service, which was under the U.S. Bureau in Nashville was sending out plan books which carried Rosenwald school designs, and that that agency was in contact with Hawaii, the Territory of Board of Education and basically dependent on the appearance of the multiple windows that we have found. That is a key for a suggestion that there is at least some design influence from Rosenwald schools.

You see the windows that provided maximum light for kids in an educational setting without electricity. I'm going to run through these fairly quickly. This is Kaupo School full-length lanai and enclosed ends for storage and restroom facilities. Puunene School, 1922 or a little bit later. We don't have a firm date on these school buildings. There ended up being three, which are located in a semicircle around the concrete school, same plan type

typologically, but they are a lot longer.

2.0

In this case, we have multiple bays of five or six windows all stacked up together. But the future of all these buildings is uncertain at this time. Lanai Elementary School, 1937. Look for the characteristic gang windows. This building was moved for its preservation and its decaying. But in this case, we've got much shorter windows. And also, some of these buildings have molded battens, which is kind of contrary to the norm for plantation period buildings.

And we're back at Kahului Elementary School. This is wider, so it is almost a double bay in depth. But as you see in the top photograph, it has the multiple gang windows. The full-length lanai enclosed on two ends. And we have no floor plans for this building, because the floor plans I believe have been lost. Interiors of this building are relatively intact. The accordion doors, which separated classroom areas, or in this case the classroom from the stage area, are also in the Rosenwald tradition.

The second building is the administrative building, the administration building, excuse me. From the outside on one corner, it looks fairly intact. But as you move around, additions have been made to this building, and when we went in to inspect the interior, the floor plan was vastly changed. At this point, we can take public comment.

And then my recommendations will follow.

2.2

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any public comment? If not, go ahead and state your name.

MR. VICTOR: My name is David Victor, and I'm the Maui District Engineer for the Department of Accounting and General Services.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Good morning.

MR. VICTOR: Commonly shortened to DAGS. It's how I'll refer to our department, as DAGS from now on. We're proposing a project to demolish two old abandoned wooden buildings, as Stanley mentioned previously. These buildings are located at the corner of Kaahumanu Avenue and Kane Street. And the acreage is 5.5 acres. This is right in the middle of Kahului. As Stanley mentioned, the previous use of the site was for an elementary school. And when Kahului Elementary School moved to its current location in Dream City, the use of this site as a school changed.

There are two buildings, and I'll refer to the building that's adjacent to Kane Street as Building B. This building, which is in the upper right-hand corner, was previously used as an administration building for Maui Economic Opportunity, MEO. When they constructed their new facility next to Cameron Center, the offices moved, and it has been abandoned since then. I think they moved in the mid '90s.

The other building, which is -- which I'll refer to as Building E is on Veval Street, and that's the building in the lower left-hand corner. That building was previously used by MEO as a Head Start classroom back in the '70s. The Head Start programs moved out to the elementary schools, and it was later used as a clothing thrift shop by MEO. It has since been abandoned.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Excuse me, David. I've got a question for you. Backing up to the old actual two-story old Kahului School, elementary school building that was demolished in the '90s, do you know -- I remember it being the earlier part of the '90s. Do you have any specific date? It's one of those things that slips by.

MR. VICTOR: I think so. You're right. I think early '90s it was demolished, and I remember it being used as a dormitory.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: For MCC.

MR. VICTOR: Yeah, for MCC students. So, after the MCC students moved out, it was demolished.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thank you.

MR. VICTOR: The site is still being used by several agencies in the central building. DOE has their adult education classes and their lawn mower operators. So, they're still using one of the buildings, which we call it Building A. Also, MEO still uses the site as a

transportation facility, so they park all their buses there.

And they've also constructed modular buildings for their transportation offices. And as far as the ultimate use of this property, which is prime land right in the middle of Kahului, DAGS had plans to construct a six-story office building to house state agencies. That was back in late '80s. There's some rendition of the proposed six-story state office building, but planning, design and construction funds were never allocated by the Legislature. I think at the time, the estimated cost for that project was about \$30 million.

Now, it's probably three times that, so I don't think, you know, due to the downturn in economy -- in the economy, I don't think we'll be seeing that building built in the near future, but we can leave it for future generations. Going back to the two buildings that we propose to demolish, there are quite a few reasons why we want those buildings taken down. First of all, they're abandoned. Nobody is using them anymore.

Secondly, they're old and pretty beat up. We estimate them to be at least 80 years old, probably more. And they're made of wood. So, you know, when you have these old buildings that are wooden, you know, you get a lot of termite damage and dry rot. The roofs leak, especially Building B. And the design is outdated. And what I mean,

you know, single wall construction. They're not ADA compliant. The electrical system is -- is not really safe. And it was, you know, it cannot accommodate computers and Xerox machines and printers and the stuff we use today, yeah.

And it's -- it's actually a fire hazard to use the old electrical system. The buildings also contain asbestos and lead paint, and so that's another problem. And they've been attracting homeless people. What happens is they break in. We kick them out. We board up the building, and it's kind of like a cycle. They find their way back in. And we do the same thing. But it's taking us -- it's taking resources from us because we have to devote labor and material to keep patching up where the homeless people break in.

Additionally, they leave their stuff in there and defecate and urinate in the building, so it is also a health and sanitation problem. And, you know, they are kind of an eyesore. They're abandoned. They're not in great shape. And they've served their useful life, I think. We've had experiences trying to renovate these old buildings. And we've found that it's not cost effective. What we -- initially it appears that, you know, there may be some -- they may be -- you may be able to renovate them, but what happens, you -- once you start removing boards, there's all

this hidden damage, you know, so you remove one board. Next 2 board is termite eaten and so on and so on. 3 And by the time it is renovated, you know, it 4 would be more cost effective to demolish and replace the 5 building. I think that's pretty much it for my 6 presentation. Any questions? 7 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Is that area of campus also 8 known as the annex? Was that called the annex before? Because we used to do workshops there. Is that the same 9 10 area that the DOE called the annex? 11 MR. VICTOR: Yes. 12 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: It is, yeah? 13 MR. VICTOR: It's another building. It's not the 14 two buildings that we propose to have demolished, but it's 15 kind of a building -- kind of adjacent to -- on the other 16 side of the -- yes, the one that Stanley is showing. That's 17 the building that's called, sometimes called the annex. 18 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Okay. Thank you. 19 MR. VICTOR: And it's still in use. 20 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions or 21 comments? 22 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah. When was the last 23 time you had to board it up because of the homeless? 24 MR. VICTOR: Probably a month, a month ago.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Okay. I know the area.

25

know the police were trying to clean up that area for about the last year.

2.0

2.2

MR. VICTOR: Yeah, I've -- we've had them -- in fact, just Monday, February 28th, they were kind of living on the side of Building B.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: The bottom one, yeah.

MR. VICTOR: And we had them evicted, so it's a temporary fence to keep them out. But they're kind of persistent sometimes. And, you know, they do come back.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Rhiannon.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Thank you for your testimony. Are you aware of any work that DAGS does to care for these buildings along the way before they get to this state that they have to be torn down because of their condition?

MR. VICTOR: Once they're abandoned, we didn't want to spend any money doing any renovations. So, once they're abandoned, we pretty much left them alone. And the reason it's taken so long is I put in for demolition about ten years ago, but we didn't get funding until now. So, once they're abandoned, and maybe a couple of years later when we knew nobody was going to use them, you know, I did put in for funding for demolition.

But it's -- with the State, it's pretty hard to get funding, so it took ten years to get that funding.

1 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Thank you. 2 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions, 3 comments? COMMISSIONER SARICH: I would just say last week I 4 5 actually was walking down that street and looked at that 6 building and it caught my eye. And I thought this is a really cool building in the middle of town. I didn't know 7 8 the history, but the building itself made me want to know 9 what's going on. So, it's kind of coincidental we're 10 learning what's going on. 11 It definitely speaks to another era and another 12 sense of scale than what is next to it, which is a modern apartment building. I don't know if it's a dormitory. 13 14 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: That's the college housing. 15 MR. VICTOR: Student housing. 16 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions or comments? Okay. Thank you. And Stanley. 1.7 18 MR. SOLAMILLO: Okay. The administrative 19 building, which is Building B or A, which one? 20 MR. VICTOR: В. 21 MR. SOLAMILLO: Which is Building B, so we can hit 22 the lights again, sorry. This building has been 23 substantially altered. Based on the alterations, it is not 24 eligible for listing in the National Registry of Historic

Places, and no mitigation is recommended. On the next

25

building, which is Building E, this building has been slightly altered. It is eligible for listing, or I should say it appears eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places under Criteria A and C.

And I apologize. In your packet, A should be for broad patterns of history, and B is associations with significant persons and/or events. So, under A and C, which is architecture, and if no alternatives to demolition can be found, then mitigation of the adverse effect of demolition should be Historic American Building Survey, HABS Level III documentation, which shall include a floor plan, large format four by five photographs and a HABS Level III narrative.

The HABS Level III narrative shall include a statement of significance and architectural description, end notes, footnotes and a bibliography. The lights.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Those are staff recommendations. Any questions?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Those are staff recommendations.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: The alterations to the Building E, is it mostly just the windows? Or is it --

MR. SOLAMILLO: Both buildings actually have the windows, but if the windows have been changed during the period of significance, which now includes up to 1960, then you can discount that change. The addition, which appears

to be have been made on one end on the makai side of the building and the interior floor plan alterations, there is virtually no indication of the original floor plan left.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: But in Building E -- MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: -- the windows were replaced at one time?

MR. SOLAMILLO: They were replaced there as well, but the same fenestration patterns exist, and it appears that the interior floor plan is almost virtually intact.

Only a slight modification to office areas. Right now we have absolutely no documentation on this entire campus.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Really? Is our Commission allowed to recommend documentation on the whole thing?

MR. SOLAMILLO: I think under the HABS Level III we would have to document the significance of the site, when it was built, by whom, on what dates. Some buildings appear to have been moved in. Others were constructed on the site. So, you mean some indication of what the chronology of construction on the site was, and then its eventual closure and abandonment over time?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I would like to also make a request following on what Brandis said, that some information on the old Kahului School two-story brick building, even though it's not there anymore, but it was

part of that, you know, that complex, that information be included in the HABS level documentation. Any other Commission Member comments? Verna.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I'm looking at the recommendation. And, yeah, we see the HABS Level III, four by five photographs, floor plan and the narrative documents and history. And so, when all of this has been had, Stanley, then what would be the next step?

MR. SOLAMILLO: The next step is that they get a demolition permit.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: And with these in place, then it would like give them more leverage for -- where am I going with this? So, they get all this mana'o. You folks come back and look at this, and then at that point, they go for their approval of the permit, is that what you're saying?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yeah. Actually, what happens is they submit to us and submit to SHPD simultaneously. And they usually get issued a go-ahead, and it's a concurrent issue, so they don't have to come back to the Commission.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Okay.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: But the information, once it's approved, the level of documentation, that would -- the Planning Department would receive.

MR. SOLAMILLO: The Planning Department gets a

copy. SHPD gets a copy as well. Ultimately with HABS, the entire collection goes to the Library of Congress in Washington D.C.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other questions or comments, Commission Members?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: It just seems there should be some use for these buildings. I know that personally if I could have this building as my art studio, I would be pretty excited if there was anything available of this kind of space and height. But I don't know if there's any kind of program for people to be able to use these abandoned buildings.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I can pretty much state that there are definitely people out there looking for space and buildings and looking for exactly this type, mainly because it's cheap.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: There are definitely lots of organizations out there.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I guess the challenge on it would be the, you know, if somebody -- how can someone use something that's pretty much at the level of where it's condemned. And for liability, that's what it kind of boils down to unfortunately is the liability side of the coin. It is that the one building, Building E, I completely agree

with what you said. It's a very attractive building.

The other one has been heavily altered, Building
B. But Building E, it's been altered somewhat, but kind of
the core is still there. But it's in bad shape. Any other
comments?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Other than that, I mean it just -- thank you so much for that presentation, Stanley, and understanding the significance of this building is tremendous and understanding how widespread this was and what it meant to America in general. And I didn't know that about Sears & Roebuck that they sponsored all of this. And to me, it's inspiring, and that means that this building to me has a lot more significance than just being an old building in Kahului.

I mean this is really part of our country and really part of our history.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Right across the street from Sears, too.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Yeah.

MR. SOLAMILLO: If I may. We're only on the beginning point of the research. So, it's actually going through the actual plans and going through a lot more research to actually make these connections. I've got them in the reports to the Senate, the United States Senate that come from the agency U.S. Bureau of Education.

And they're indicating that they are sponsoring surveyors' facilities in Hawaii as well as health and sanitation. And they also mentioned that they are in contact with the Territorial Board of Education as well as the health authorities here. So, at this point, it's just conjectural. I'm leading -- you know, it looks good, but until we actually physically have, you know, a floor plan taken and adapted by an architect here in Hawaii, I would hesitate and caution everybody that it looks good, but until we have the facts.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Stanley, for the sake of argument on this one, on Building E, if the floor plan and everything comes back, some of the research comes back and this building does prove to merit significance under the criteria, but also in the broader picture, are there -- and, you know, recognizing the -- well, State in this instance, but the State's fiscal situation, if it were determined that this building is really worth trying to save, would there -- are there any -- any avenues that the State might be able to pursue that would provide funding?

Because otherwise, there's not -- the way the budget is, it's not going to happen.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yeah, Mr. Victor would have to address that issue and given the fiscal situation here in Hawaii. It would have to be a private person coming in.

Essentially what Theo did with the house this morning, which was it was kind of like if you can enter into an agreement, we'll fix it up for you, or in this case, because it's location, we would have to move it I would presume. I can't speak to that.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Right.

MR. VICTOR: It's pretty much up to the Legislature, yeah, to allocate funds for our projects. So, we could make a request and submit it, but it's -- you know, it took ten years to get approval for demolition, you know. And ten years ago, the fiscal situation of the State was better than it is now, so I don't know.

That's a big question mark. I think, you know, you may be kind of grasping at straws by thinking something could be done by the State in the near future regarding renovation.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Right. Have you folks looked at offering it to someone to basically take it away? They provide the services to remove the building.

MR. VICTOR: The State is, you know, as a public agency, there's a lot of bureaucracy that we have to go through. What we would have to go through, you know, is kind of like offer it, you know, bid it out and open it up to everybody rather than just designate one individual that can have it, yeah. So, it would have to be like an open bid

process, so that's I guess procurement law.

And, you know, the bid price could be a buck or so, but it has to be -- we cannot show favoritism by offering it to just one entity, you know. It has to be open to everybody.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Sure.

MR. VICTOR: So, yeah, it's possible. But, you know it would have to be kind of like bid out.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other comments? Brandis.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: If there's any way to save

it, I don't think it should be moved because of the significance of that site.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I kind of agree. I think that whole site, you know, I still have a picture memory of that school.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: The two-story school.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: The two-story school. And I hadn't gone down to Kaahumanu Center and that road for like two years, and all of the sudden I drove by and went -- the grass was growing by then. I hear what he's saying about the Legislature and the time and all those things. And those are definitely paths that we understand they've been down before, but I also don't believe in the word can't.

Because once you say can't, your decision is made and it's final, and it's absolute. And I brought my kids up

never to use that word, so I'm not going to use that word today. I would like to see it go to the Legislature to be funded. I think that, you know, the fact that it was a school, it is across the street from the college. There is that four-story apartment complex or whatever it is for the students over there.

I think there's great potential for that whole property to become very lucrative or at least sensitive to the era. And if you look around the shopping center and everything else that you have across there, you take that away, you've taken everything away from Kahului pretty much within visual sites of --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: What's left.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: -- what's left. So, here is one that I think needs to stand out as absolutely most important.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Bruce.

COMMISSIONER U'U: Are you talking about the two buildings?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I think Building E.

COMMISSIONER U'U: Building E, so would we separate the items, so we can focus on --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I don't know. I don't mean to speak for you, Ray. Were you speaking about Building B and E?

1 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Based upon the information 2 that Stan provided is that one of the buildings is pretty 3 much not in the picture. 4 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building B. 5 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yes, okay. Because there's 6 something --7 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: That's heavily altered. 8 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Right. You're not really 9 restoring, because it's already altered. So, to me, it even 10 adds to the importance of the existing building. All the 11 more reason, look, we're going to lose one no matter what. 12 Actually, it was lost a long time ago, just like the school 13 We can't do anything about the school. 14 But how about we really, really, really, really 15 try and not use any can'ts. 16 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I'm going to add something. 17 Being with the Department of Ed for 33 years and DAGS, DAGS

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I'm going to add something Being with the Department of Ed for 33 years and DAGS, DAGS is very creative, by the way, there's hope. Because at the rate the Department took ten years to get here, trust me, people, it will be a while before things get happening with budgets and whatnot. So, enjoy what you have now, and I do agree there is a ray of hope.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, if we can save that E in A will complement
E -- gee, sounds like a DOE classroom -- that would be good.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I always have strong faith

in the economy. Just my personal experience in our business, we're up 50 percent over last year. And last month was the best month we've ever, ever, ever, ever, ever had. And October in 2010 was the best year before or best month before that, so I think, you know, if we look at the tax and the budgets and all these kind of things that's funded through taxes, although the budgets are made a couple of years in advance, I see, barring anything weird happening again, that it is time to put it up. I would say put it up, let it fly. Put it up to the Legislature. Don't say can't.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Stanley, is there any way we could use the demolition of Building E in order to start documentation on the whole site?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Brandis.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Say that we need mitigation on that in order to start documenting the site and then buy time on Building B by recommending that they don't demolish it at this time.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building B is the one that's heavily altered.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Sorry.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: E is the one that's more intact.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Do I need to start over? I meant

use the demolition of the administration building in order 2 for us to be able to start. 3 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building B would be the one 4 that would get the permit. 5 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Yeah, so the admin building 6 would get its permit, but we would take mitigating measures 7 by starting to do documentation on the campus. 8 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Of the entire, yeah, of the 9 campus, the complex. COMMISSIONER SARICH: And then recommend that they 10 11 not demolish the classroom building --12 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building E. 13 COMMISSIONER SARICH: -- at this time to buy more 14 time to properly understand what that building's 15 significance is. 16 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Bruce. 17 COMMISSIONER U'U: So for clarity, you're okay 18 with the demolition of Building B? 19 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Yeah, especially if that 20 will gain us the ability to document that whole site. 21 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: And it has been heavily 22 altered, and it's in really bad shape. I've seen it on the 23 ground, Building B, B as in boy. 24 COMMISSIONER U'U: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: There's also that homeless

situation and the liabilities, because that's very close to the road. And like I said, I know that area very well. We tried for three years to -- just like he said, they come and they go. You get rid of them, and they're gone for three months. And all of the sudden, they're there right down the alley where the second van is.

Having that other one left alone because of its location in a pretty much open area is not attractive to people who want to basically hide.

COMMISSIONER U'U: So, do we have a motion?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Before we get a motion.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, let's --

COMMISSIONER U'U: Discuss it?

MR. SOLAMILLO: We could do several things. We could, as has been recommended, that we recommend the first building, which is the administration building.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building B.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Building B. That we can proceed with the demolition. You can defer the demolition.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building E.

MR. SOLAMILLO: On Building E, and you can ask the Applicant to explore other options besides demolition. In fact, you could go -- by code, you can ask that for a demolition, you can ask for a structural engineer's report and all that documentation to show that the building is

unsound and unsafe. 1 2 MR. HOPPER: Stanley, just to clarify, to whom is 3 the Commission making recommendations in this case? 4 MR. SOLAMILLO: To the Applicant, which would be 5 DAGS. 6 THE COURT: And you're talking about requiring an 7 engineer's report. This isn't in the County historic 8 district, right? 9 MR. SOLAMILLO: That's right, a misstatement. 10 Thank you. MR. HOPPER: So basically, the Commission is 11 12 looking at this as kind of something that's in the 13 Commission shall advise and assist Federal, State and County 14 government agencies in carrying out their historic 15 preservation responsibilities? 16 MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct. 17 THE COURT: So, you're advising the applicant; 18 you're not sending this to SHPD, for example? 19 MR. SOLAMILLO: This goes to SHPD. 20 MR. HOPPER: So, they're looking at this, too? 21 MR. SOLAMILLO: Absolutely. 22 MR. HOPPER: They're looking at the Commission's 23 recommendation? 24 MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct. 25 MR. HOPPER: As well as the Planning Department is

also, they're kind of seeking recommendations from the CRC, 1 2 too? 3 MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct. 4 MR. HOPPER: So, this recommendation will go to the applicant and go to the State? 5 6 MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct. 7 MR. HOPPER: And do any of these bodies actually 8 approve the demolition permit? 9 MR. SOLAMILLO: The demolition permit comes from 10 Public Works. 11 MR. HOPPER: Right, it's DSA. So, even if there's 12 a recommendation to preserve, can DSA actually say deny the 13 demolition permit if it meets the other code requirements? 14 MR. SOLAMILLO: No, because it's recommendation 15 only. 16 MR. HOPPER: I just wanted to clarify that. Now, 17 the county historic district is different. MR. SOLAMILLO: Correct. Thank you for suggesting 18 19 that. 20 MR. HOPPER: I just wanted to be clear on the 21 recommendation. I'm a bit new, but I understand what the 22 law reads, but I wanted to see where this one fell. 23 MR. SOLAMILLO: Thank you. 24 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thank you, Corp Counsel. 25 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: So, then I have a question.

So bottom line, which entity or body gives the final approval for demolition?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Public Works.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Public Works. So, all of the mana'o recommended goes to whatever he says, and then Public Works makes the final decision?

MR. SOLAMILLO: What happens is once a building has been documented and the whole documentation package has been accepted, then a letter goes out, and that letter gets copied to Public Works. And at that point, the demolition permit is released to the applicant. Because, in essence, they've satisfied the recommendations of this body.

And usually what happens when this body makes a recommendation, SHPD concurs as well.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Oh.

MR. HOPPER: But can SHPD prevent a demolition permit in this type of district, do you happen to know that?

MR. SOLAMILLO: I do not know that.

MR. HOPPER: They do have a bit broader authority, as I understand, but I'm just not sure about that.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: It would be a call on the part of the architectural branch.

MR. SOLAMILLO: So, at that point, and I will retract the structural engineer's report. All we can recommend essentially is that the building be documented to

mitigate the adverse affect of demolition. And that includes the measured drawings, which is floor plan only. We're not asking for HABS Level I. It's HABS Level III, so it's floor plan only, four by five photographs and a narrative report, which will include, as has been suggested, if you vote on that recommendation to include the significance of the entire site where the building is located. COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: These are two separate, or

are they combined?

MR. SOLAMILLO: They're combined.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: So, what happens if there's a -- but the Commission will likely be recommending if there's a demolition approval or a recommendation for one demolition, but not the other one, does that stop the demolition permit, or does it split it and they get --

MR. SOLAMILLO: I mean they can be combined actually or separated.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: How do we separate?

MR. SOLAMILLO: We can do it here.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Can we move?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: So, just so we as a Commission are clear, this is on the advisory side, okay. We still can make a motion, or is it just advisory?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Everything is advisory.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 MR. HOPPER: I would say even to make your 2 comments, we do this with like with EA's and EIS's, you would still want a motion. They're the comments of a body, 3 4 not just a commissioner. 5 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: All right. Anymore 6 discussion? 7 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: So then, would the motion be we recommend, as he stated, and then we also proceed with 8 9 Building E demo, demolition; that's what we're saying? 10 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Well, it would be a motion the Commission wants to put forward, but that seems to be 11 what we're talking about. It's something a Commission 12 13 Member needs to make a motion. 14 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I want to be clear before 15 moving. 16 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yes, thank you. 17 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I would like to move we 18 separate the two buildings into two separate demolition 19 permits. And that building, which I don't know if it's A or 20 B now or B or E. 21 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: E is the keeper. 22 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah, we make no comment other than to separate it. 23 24 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Ray. 25 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Just because this is my 1 2 final meeting --3 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: You want me to explain my 4 reason? 5 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Would you elaborate on 6 that? 7 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: First of all, I think that 8 based upon what I'm hearing, we kind of agree that there's 9 no real purpose or reason to keep that because of its 10 alterations. 11 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Building B. 12 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: If we separate the two of 13 them, then they need to be separate, okay. Making any kind 14 of comment whatsoever might lead one to believe something 15 about what we're going to decide on the other building that 16 we, again, I'm assuming we might want to consider saving. 17 Having no comment means we don't either accept or deny cultural or historic value to it. We're basically benign to 18 19 it. 20 Then we can focus in on the reasons why the other 21 building -- let that one go by, okay. You know, let's go 22 work on the other one I think is more important. That's the

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I wonder if no comment

reason why no comment, just to get it out of the way.

would send a message that we're not --

23

24

25

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I think we should comment 1 2 myself. 3 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Yeah, I think I'm trying to 5 follow you. And then I'm looking at DAGS and DOE and State 6 is, you know, there is a building of significance, E. I got 7 it. There is a one without, B. I got it. I was teaching 8 elementary for a little while. 9 The thing is we really should move on, and if we 10 can go ahead and proceed with this nonessential structure, 11 cool. However, we focus in on the building that has some 12 integrity and move on with that. But I can't see -- not 13 can't see, but I beg to differ on separating these two and 14 no comment. 15 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Then I remove the motion. 16 thank you. That's cool. 17 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: You're welcome. Cool. 18

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I have another question for Stanley before we make a motion. Stanley, even if we're recommending they don't demolish the building, can we ask to start documenting that building before it falls apart?

COMMISSIONER U'U: Building?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Building E.

MR. SOLAMILLO: I would probably defer to corporation counsel. I mean the recommendations are made

and they are mitigated measures, and their whole purpose or the whole purpose of documentation is to mitigate the adverse effect of demolition, so it goes on the presumption the building will, in fact, be demolished.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: So, if we decided we wanted to try and get this building on the Historic Register, that's when we would do some documentation on it?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Well, I mean right now with the HABS level mitigation, you're still required to do this research and reportage on the history of the site as well as that particular building.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other comments, questions? Okay. Now, as a Commission, someone needs to make a motion about what we've been discussing, and we'll see if it passes. Do we need more discussion on the motion in order to craft the motion?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I think I'm ready.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER U'U: I think.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Have at it.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I would like to motion that we recommend mitigation of demolition of Building B, and we would like HABS Level III documentation that includes a narrative that covers the entire significance of the site and that we as a body would recommend saving Building E,

especially until we can understand the significance of that 2 building. 3 Sounds good. Is there a CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: 4 second? 5 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Yes. 6 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Or a comment? 7 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I second and just wonder 8 if we should separate them formally in this motion, or is it okay to make a motion like this, because currently both 9 10 buildings are on the same application? 11 MR. SOLAMILLO: I'll defer to corporation counsel. 12 MR. HOPPER: I mean I don't -- you're making a 13 recommendation, whether you make it in two separate motions 14 or one. 15 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: It's a recommendation. 16 MR. HOPPER: I don't think you can alter the 17 permitting process. I think if they're allowed under the 18 ESA's permit to apply for both under one, I think they get 19 applications of both under one. I think the key thing for 20 you is to be clear you've made different recommendations on 21 each building. You could do that by two motions or in one 22 motion. 23 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Okay. Thank you. 24 you, Brandis. I second that motion. 25

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. We have a motion put

1	forward.
2	All those in favor of the motion, say aye.
3	COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
4	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any opposed?
5	(Silence).
6	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. The motion carries,
7	and that's that.
8	COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: That was nice.
9	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Stanley, did you have
10	anything you wanted to add?
11	MR. SOLAMILLO: No.
12	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Good job.
14	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Sorry, David, that's some
15	headaches, but explore, please. Item Number 3.
16	Hold on. Let's take ten.
17	(Recess taken 11:38 a.m. to 11:50 a.m.)
18	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Let's reconvene.
19	Stanley.
20	MR. SOLAMILLO: All right. The last item on the
21	agenda is Mr. Vince Bagoyo, Jr., on behalf of D&P Marino,
22	LLC, requesting review and recommendations on the demolition
23	of a plantation dwelling attached to a warehouse adjacent to
24	the Maalaea General Store located at 232 Maalaea Road TMK
25	2-3-6-001:025, Maalaea, Maui. The CRC may provide comments

and recommendations. Public testimony will be accepted. And hit the lights.

2.1

My personal comment. When I first came back after 30 years, I had a red hot dog right here.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I would like to also add, well, I grew up in Lahaina, but we hauled our family's boat out from 1965 or six at the haul-out place at Maalaea and Jimmy Uno's was going strong then, and had many a hot dog over there.

COMMISSIONER U'U: They also had the cheapest tabis.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, plenty ice and -MR. SOLAMILLO: Maalaea for that reason sticks in
everybody's minds. And unfortunately, the documentation of
this Hawaiian place is extremely scant, and it's really
unfortunate. At the turn of the century, there were some
attempts to get a commercial harbor here, and they failed.
And a commercial harbor didn't materialize until 1949.

So, actually probably a little bit late, was our first aerial is from 1949. But you can see, I mean there's been plenty of changes that have occurred here. At the time, there was just possibly a landing and beach and a small community of fishermen who operated out of Maalaea.

1960, we finally find a harbor being built. And the store is located within the circle, and it contains several

buildings including a shrine.

2.2

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Including a shrine?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: That's an adjacent property.

MR. SOLAMILLO: That's an adjacent building.

This is a shot from 1988. We now have condominiums developed along the shoreline, and they come up to Maalaea. And the harbor is larger, and the condominiums are really close.

All right. The red arrow is pointing to the building that we're considering this morning, but because it's adjacent to three other buildings, they're also part of this project. Before we begin, going back, the black circle, which I think was another color when I did it last night, is the location of an Ebisoku Jinsha Shrine, which was a shrine dedicated to a fishing deity by a local Japanese fishermen.

It was built in 1916. It appears to have been moved to at least one other location, and it was not built by a carpenter, because the builder was a boat builder. So, it was different than your normal temple constructions or carpenters who build shrines and temples. The bottom left view comes from Bishop Museum. The bottom right view is the only picture that I could find. And that's actually off the back and front.

It shows that that were families at the time in

1990, there were about 80 members of the shrine. These are views — and this was another case, and the Commission got to review this case, I believe. And they did the proper things. It went to a HABS Level I, that the building be recorded and measure drawings, large format photography and some sort of narrative. I haven't been able to find the documentation for this specific building, but probably it is an SHPD. The carpenter was Ann Matsunaga.

Ms. Arine, the Shinto priestess from Maui Jinsha, is the one who provided particular services at this particular shrine. And with the exception of the war years 1941 to 1945 when the shrine was padlocked, it was open and used by the Maalaea community. There were two only Jinsha shrines in Maui County, and this one was the only one in the entire state dedicated to Ebisu Sama, the Japanese deity.

The building was dismantled in 1996, and I think

-- the only reason I'm talking about it this was at the time
an obvious eligible for National Register or Hawaii Register
nomination, but it never happened. The oral tradition
suggests that the importance of this shrine was not just for
Japanese fishermen, but that it actually grew to include
most fishermen who went and launched their boats from the
harbor.

And I think something, which was an unanticipated consequence after the dismantling of the shrine and even

after the rebuilding of the newer building was that the ties between the fishing community and the building were severed. And outside of the annual January festival, the daily functions of saying prayers and leaving an offering before you went out to go fishing that day for a safe return ceased all together. And that's something that when we do CIA's, Cultural Impact Assessments, we don't really think what is the impact.

2.0

So, at this point, we have a rebuilt shrine that's contained within the red circle. The red arrow denotes the building we are going to look at in a few minutes. And this shrine is NRHP ineligible. It's a recent construction or reconstruction, and it's on an entirely new site. Here is Maalaea General Store, my favorite place to get hot dogs. I was really upset when it closed. But it is or it appears to be eligible for listing in both the Hawaii and National Register of Historic Places.

Concurrence on that determination will have to be made by SHPD. The building that we're looking for demolition is behind the building shown in the top photograph. It's really hard to see and hard to get to, and it's — in the second shot, the red arrow points to the main roof and then a covered walkway on the rear and side of that building. This is the plan of the general store, plus the building in front, which is a warehouse. And the building

in yellow is the building that is being sought for a demolition permit.

These shots are, as I said, it was real difficult. Vince Bagoyo provided some, but they were shot with a wide angle, so I couldn't use all of them. The building in the foreground, this is the store. The building beyond, which is the plantation house, is the actual building.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Stanley.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yes.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: This building is pretty much not visible from the street, right?

MR. SOLAMILLO: It's not visible from the public right-of-way at all. So, you can't see it unless you enter into someone's property without permission and take your camera with you. It's in a pretty severe state of deterioration. But since we're talking about this building and it is literally adjacent to -- only separated by a passageway from the general store, and it abuts a warehouse, which fronts the harbor right next to the general store, we need to consider the applicant's overall plans for all these buildings involved.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Stanley.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: The unit we're talking about, the residential unit, it's connected by an adjoining

roof or completely separate?

MR. SOLAMILLO: It's connected to the building physically.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Does that affect the nomination at all of the general store?

MR. SOLAMILLO: It's separate from the general store. It's attached to a warehouse in front, so it's separated by a five to six-foot passageway. So, would it affect the nomination? Probably it could if you wanted it to, and it couldn't if you wished it not to.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Okay. And was the general store and the residential dwelling built at the same time?

Do you know like were they meant to be together?

MR. SOLAMILLO: They appear to be within a decade of each other, but I have not done property record research to actually determine the build dates of any of the buildings on this site.

Walking around the building. Oblique and front views. Rear view. Side view. When you walk into it, virtually -- there's been some stabilization work, which the applicant has done to stabilize at least one wall, and he's increased the size of the columns for structural reasons. And it looks like there's a flitch beam that's been positioned in to keep the ceiling and roof structure in place. And there's two ancillary rooms, but literally the

material, you've got over 75 percent of the building still intact including storefronts, (inaudible), original doors, six sliders as well as the ceilings and wall finishes and floor finishes, which is scored concrete. So, you have literally enough to do a certified rehab.

1.8

So, we would be talking about starting with the store on the left, the building on the right, which is a warehouse. The building on the far right is I just was informed today by the applicant it's too late. It's 1972 construction date, so that one kicks out. But then you've got the building behind, which is in the lower left-hand side, and that one could be included as well.

So, you've got three buildings that could be applied for a certified rehabilitation and appear to be NRHP eligible. You've all seen this before. And Maui County has now taken advantage of this Federal Historic Preservation Tax Incentives. As a program, it was created in 1976 to help preserve historic buildings from demolition and encourage their adaptive reuse.

The program is managed both by the National Park
Service and the Internal Revenue Service. There are
basically two credits, one for historic properties or HTC's,
which is the one that would be applied for in this case; and
one for nonhistoric properties. They are available for
buildings that are National Historic Landmarks listed on the

National Register of Historic Places or contribute to
National Register of Historic districts or local districts.

Nonhistoric tax credits are also available for the rehabilitation of the older buildings built before 1936 that do not have historic status associated with them. And all restored buildings and properties must be income-producing, so you can't use this for residential properties as your primary home or dwelling and rehabilitated according to the standards set by the Secretary of the Interior.

I want to commend both the applicant and their representative for coming to us early so that we could let them know about the program and assist them if they choose to go that way. Rehabilitation, as we have mentioned before, is identified under 36 CFR Part 67. It is a process of returning a building or buildings to a state of utility through repair or alteration, which makes possible an efficient use while preserving those portions and features of the building and its site and environment, which are significant to its historic architectural and cultural values.

A certified historic structure means any building that is listed on National Register, located in a registered historic district and certified by the Secretary of the Interior as being of historic significance to a district.

I'll gloss over that. For tax credits, they have been

designed to reward private investment for the rehabilitations of historic properties such as offices, retail stores, factories and rental housing.

2.0

2.2

The current tax incentives offered by this program include two different tax credits, which directly reduce the amount of tax owed by a property owner. These two tax credits are mutually exclusive, and their use depends on the type of the building. A 20 percent tax credit or HTC for the certified rehabilitations of certified historic structures, and the ten percent tax credit for the rehabilitation of nonhistoric, nonresidential buildings constructed before 1936.

In this case, the store, and I'm just giving these kind of circa dates. 1928, the warehouse to the right; 1938 and the little building behind is probably within the same date range, not going past 1960, which is our 50-year threshold. They all appear eligible for listing and the 20 percent tax credit. To get the tax credit, you have to do a nomination. And you also have to do what is called a Historic Preservation Certification application. All the members of this Commission were familiar with one that was prepared for the Fred Baldwin Memorial Home.

That ended up with the National Park Service signing off, and the value of that tax credit project is \$200,000. So, it was a pretty significant tax credit

project. Part one is the equivalent of a nomination and duplicates the same tax, so it is the valuation of the significance of the property. Part 2 is an actual description of the rehabilitation work, and it's keyed to photographs that are taken throughout the building and the photo index and actual descriptions of the work, which are keyed to architectural and engineering drawings.

These were prepared for the Baldwin Memorial Home. And the documentation for that was actually we received a compliment from the National Park Service. They said it was an excellent application. So, this is the actual entire project, one demolition of a building which is eligible, and the preservation and certified rehabilitation for three buildings which are also eligible for listing.

At this point, we can turn it over to the applicant's representative as well as the applicant themselves. And I want to compliment everyone involved for coming to Maui County with this project.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thank you, Stanley.

MR. BAGOYO: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Thank you so much. It's already afternoon. Thank you so much, Stanley. My name is Vince Bagoyo, and I represent the owner, Mr. and Mrs. Dominique Marino. And if you have any specific questions with regards to renovations, I will be more than happy to respond. The good news is that they are

renovating the general store. As I recall, like many of you, that used to be my hang-out when we were growing up on Maui buying hot dogs and bait fish.

2.2

So, they are in the process of making the plans to renovate the existing general store and the storage, which is on the right side of the main general store building.

The dwelling that is subject for our permit for demolition is actually according to the property tax office. It's really a warehouse, and which is the yellow. It looks like a dwelling. It's an old plantation with post and piers.

But the property tax office called that as a warehouse.

And it's actually used as a warehouse by the previous general store owners. The plan to renovate is really mostly interior renovations and as much as possible trying to keep the architectural integrity of the existing building, using like the windows, using the old plantation and even using existing windows that they have now. It's really a beautiful building.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Vince, I've got a question.
MR. BAGOYO: Sure.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Has the project looked at the -- at what Stanley was talking about, the potential for tax credits and all of this interplay?

MR. BAGOYO: Definitely, yeah. Because I think it's -- I think the owner is willing to at least renovate

and keep the existing general store and the warehouse intact. And so, any tax credit that can be applied for, I think it's -- and I do appreciate Stan for bringing that up to us. He's been very helpful. The Planning Department has been very helpful in this process. Thank you so much.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Just another comment, and this is to the Commission. I do know the presenter Vince Bagoyo. I've worked on different projects with him. I'm not involved with this project. And then the owners I know on a personal basis. They're friends. And I was -- I've been impressed that they're interested in trying to maintain the character of the building, because it is a very -- the store has been around an awfully long time. And it's neat that it can be brought back to life, so to speak.

Anyway, that was just a comment. So, I do -- I do know the owners of the project, and then I do know the presenter. Any other comments or questions? Rhiannon.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Thank you. Stan had referenced wanting to know the long-term plans for the area. I'm curious to find out what the warehouse would be used for or if the owners had any specific plans that you're aware of?

MR. BAGOYO: Maybe Dominique or Patricia could respond. I think one of the things that I really like the terms of the plan is they will start selling hot dogs again.

And the main general store will be a retail. And, of course, I think the existing warehouse, which is on that small building on the right side which is detached from the main building, is also probably going to be used for retail space in the future as well.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Is that retail like a general store still or retail like a clothing --

MRS. MARINO: In the general store, what we would like to do --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Trish, could you state your name?

MRS. MARINO: I'm sorry, Trisha Marino. And we would like to bring back a general store, also have a small cafe with coffee and basic like deli food and hot dogs. And then the general store, the coffee shop, and then eventually, we would like to redo that portion on the side of the front in the right to also include that. But it will kind of depend on how much it takes to do the store, because, of course, we have to do some parking lot and septic and everything else that's involved.

So, eventually, that would be included as part of the store. For now, we'll probably just use it as storage.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: But your folks' plan is to maintain the character of the place and similar use?

MRS. MARINO: Yes, we've tried to keep that. The

only thing we had been thinking of doing was changing windows a little bit just to get more air through it.

Because those windows in the front are fixed. But Stan said to qualify -- we had no idea.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: The tax credits?

MRS. MARINO: No. And he said if we keep those

MRS. MARINO: No. And he said if we keep those windows and make something open above them, then it would qualify for the historic, so we're looking into that, if at all feasibly possibly.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: So, you folks are willing to work with the County on, as you go through the process, get ideas and see what --

MRS. MARINO: Yeah. Up to this point, we weren't even aware that was a possibility.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: As Stanley said, it's good the project has come forward.

MRS. MARINO: We've always been planning on keeping the basic footprint of the store, because it's set up nicely. It has the big lanai in the front, and it just has so much character. You walk in, and you can feel it.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, that's the last bit of character in Maalaea, I'm afraid.

MRS. MARINO: Yeah. Everything else has gone to the Whale Foundation. Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: So what you're really going

to demolish is --

2.4

MRS. MARINO: Is the old house.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: -- the old building or house behind it?

MRS. MARINO: It's actually connected to the part on the right. I mean it looks like it has a wall in common, but actually there's two walls because we looked at it. And then there's an old like two-bedroom house back there that I guess towards the end, they were just using it for storage, but someone did live in there at some point.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: For an additional retail space, in the back, I don't see how it would really work from a business standpoint. But that seems like a pretty big area.

MRS. MARINO: It's two bedrooms and a kitchen and two other small rooms, but it's in such a bad state. I mean the store, you walk in, and it's not bad. But when we first walked into the house, it was like the floor was covered with termites and wood. So, it's like you can -- it's just they didn't do anything to that to keep it. It looks like they may have termited the store part, but they worked on keeping the house up. So, it's -- you know, the floor is unstable. There's several places you can't even walk.

So, and it's -- it blocks the store from the road from the side. They had it all blocked in by carports and

overhangs and everything that they kind of built on 1 2 piecemeal. 3 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: It's a hodgepodge of stuff. 4 I've got -- could I ask Stanley a question? And then if the 5 Commission Members have questions of the owners, they can come back. 6 7 Stanley, have you been inside the warehouse/house, 8 that structure? 9 MR. SOLAMILLO: No, I've just been to the enclosed 10 area on the side of it, and I've been around it. I didn't 11 actually walk into the house. 12 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: The little walkway that also 13 I think functions as a drainage area when that area really 14 rains. 15 MR. SOLAMILLO: I've been down the walkway. 16 been up into the store. I've been behind the store. 17 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, I was in it at one 18 point, and in the back when you walk into it, it's -- I

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, I was in it at one point, and in the back when you walk into it, it's -- I don't know. It's not -- the ceilings are very, very low. It looks like that was added on who knows when, but it seems like it's a hodgepodge of different just tacked on things. Interesting though, but so you haven't been inside?

MR. SOLAMILLO: No, I have not.

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: But you did walk through the exterior?

MR. SOLAMILLO: I walked over the side. In fact, 1 2 all the photographs are of the exterior that I took for this 3 presentation. 4 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: And you've been inside the 5 store? 6 MR. SOLAMILLO: I've been inside the store. And 7 that's where I was able to make a preliminary determination 8 that the property was eligible for the nomination as well as 9 for the tax credits. 10 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any questions of Stanley or 11 of the property owner? 12 COMMISSIONER SARICH: No, I just wanted to comment 13 on the hodgepodge thing. 14 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Go ahead. 15 COMMISSIONER SARICH: The hodgepodge actually is 16 tremendous, and it's an important part of how buildings 17 evolve. And we shouldn't discount a building because it's a 1.8 hodgepodge, because it actually talks about how people 19 lived, and you get to see physical evidence of how a 20 storekeeper would live in that kind of thing. 21 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, it was an old-school 22 whatever you want to call it style. 23 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah, my house is like that.

Started off as a kuleana 20 by 10. And then they added --

the bathroom was outside, and they kind of connected it and

24

25

made a deck. Then they covered the deck. It's real -- I mean it doesn't make any sense when you walk in there, but when you go underneath the house, it makes a whole lot of sense. You start to figure it all out, and it's really cool.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Actually, there's a section of the store that has corrugated metal as a wall material. And I remember when I was documenting Pioneer Mill, that's what they did. It was corrugated metal sometimes with pipe. A lot of pipe construction. And it comes from the 1930's when people were poor, and we didn't have access to a lot of material. So, it's make due with what you have.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Bruce, did you have a question?

COMMISSIONER U'U: None.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: No. Just, again, thank you to the owners for wanting to bring this store back. I think you will find a lot of interest from the community as soon as you're open. A lot of people will be excited.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: You know, from the point of the visitor who comes, you know, they've got all the whale watch, historical cruises come out of there. And even though they're across the harbor, looking to that just gives them a sense of what Maui really is rather than a dirty old

harbor with some stores in it in the background.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Especially one with character.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah. And trust me, when you get the hot dogs ready, I'll be going across the street to the 57-passenger buses and going, man, you got to try this.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I just have a question about what is the owner's intention to do with that area that's vacated if the house is demolished or the dwelling, warehouse?

MR. MARINO: So, I am Dominique Marino. What's the question again? Could you repeat it?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I just wonder if that when you take the warehouse down, what is the intention there?

Is it just because it's in disrepair that you want to take it down? Do you want to put a parking lot in there?

MR. MARINO: Eventually we may need more parking in there. We really have to see just what kind of a demand we have for business. Right now the house, we'll call it a house, is in such a state of disrepair that I can put my hand -- just lean into it anywhere and put my hand through it. And the floor is the same way. If I have my boots on and I stomp on the floor, I can put my foot through the floor.

Everything else is salvageable. And it's like you said, if can, can. And I can. I can put that old store back together and make it look good. And that's what I want to do. And but the house is -- it's a mess. It's in the way. It's a mess. I'm hoping with it gone, it will improve the air flow through the project. The aquarium sets at a higher elevation and blocks most of the air. So, with the house gone, we may get more air and I would really like to get this thing up and not have to air-condition it, too.

I really want to keep it looking the way it should look. I'm not sure it ever had paint on some parts of it, you know. But I want to make it look good. And, you know, if you go down to Maalaea right now, we have the old aquarium structure. And it's, you know, it's just like a shopping center you see anywhere else in the country. It has no Maui character to it. And with the old mission beside this one and the old store and some paint, a little TLC, I think it will look awesome, be just what we all want to see down there.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I like what you're saying.

MR. MARINO: Watch me. Give me a chance.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I commend you for doing what you're doing. I just hope that you'll talk to Stanley or an architect who understands historic preservation and adaptive reuse to make sure it's done well.

1 MR. MARINO: Thank you. And I am grateful to 2 Stanley because he let me know early on that these tax credits are available. The only thing I was going to change 3 4 was the windows just so we could get some air through there. 5 And, you know, if we have to seal it up, we will. And, you 6 know, whatever we can do for the tax credits, that will help 7 us preserve the project, too. But working with Stanley and 8 getting this input, we'll do what we can to keep it 9 original. 10 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Great. And doors are

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Great. And doors are important, too.

MR. MARINO: Indeed. Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Thanks, Dominique. Okay. Stanley, recommendations.

MR. SOLAMILLO: All right. Recommendations on this project. The building proposed for demolition, it is eligible for listing in the National Register under Criteria A and C. If no alternatives to demolition can be found, then mitigation of the adverse effect of demolition shall be Historic American Building Survey Level 3 documentation, which shall include a floor plan, which the applicant has already supplied us with, large format four by five photographs and a HABS level narrative.

We're expecting that the HABS level narrative, the content of that would come out from a nomination, so that

actually can be deferred to the production of the nomination. That narrative shall include a statement of significance, architectural description, end notes and footnotes and a bibliography. The remaining three buildings, not four, my error, also appear to be eligible for listing in the National Register of historic places under Criteria A and C.

And for historic preservation or HP tax credits as part of a certified rehabilitation, an HPCA should be filed with SHPD and the National Park Service for certification and the capture of the available historic preserving tax credits.

A nomination to the NRHP and HRHP should be filed concurrently, and the building should be rehabilitated and the exteriors restored as outlined by the Secretary of the Interior Standards for Rehabilitation.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Stanley, on the -- for the HABS Level III documentation for the warehouse/house, there are still members of the Uno family alive, so that's a great opportunity to get some of that history about the place and have it be down in writing. The owners could actually maybe even incorporate, have some sort of a display inside for historic interest. Because talk about adding character, or, you know, adding to the character of the place. Let people know, oh, this goes back to -- what did you think it was,

'28 or so was when the store was built?

2.5

MR. SOLAMILLO: Until I get a firm date, I think it's the '20s.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: '20s most likely.

MR. SOLAMILLO: I'll concur with that, but I think because of the changes that occurred within the Ocean Center and the development of the shopping mall, the place lost its heart. And when this store closed, that was it.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah.

MR. SOLAMILLO: And this provides an opportunity, and it's the only opportunity really to memorialize the history of the place. Because you go there even in the early morning when the boats are going out, and it's not --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: There's no place to get coffee and hot dogs or anything.

MR. SOLAMILLO: There is. There's a place in the shopping center. But it's just that it's like anywhere USA, and that's my biggest fear for all of Maui County. So again, I commend the owners have been incredible. And Mr. Bagoyo has been excellent as well.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any comments? Anybody want to make a -- are we doing a motion on this? Anyone want to make a motion based on recommendations?

COMMISSIONER U'U: I can make a motion. Motion to approve recommendations that was given us.

1	CUATO EDEDEDICACENA. Ac etetod
	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: As stated.
2	COMMISSIONER U'U: Stated by staff.
3	COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I second.
4	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Second, okay. All those in
5	favor of the motion as it has been set, say aye.
6	COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
7	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Those opposed.
8	(Silence.)
9	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Motion carries. Best
10	of luck, folks. It's a really neat project, and thank you.
11	Really looking forward to seeing it developed.
12	MR. MARINO: Come by for some hot dogs.
13	COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: We will. Bring your
14	friends.
15	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Stanley, Item G or
16	excuse me, Item F.
17	MR. SOLAMILLO: Item F, Director's Report. April
18	7, 2011 is the next CRC meeting. And at this point, we ask
19	commissioners if you have specific topics that you would
20	like placed on that agenda.
21	COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: We're not going to get you
22	in trouble again, are we?
23	MR. SOLAMILLO: No comment.
24	CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Rhiannon.
25	COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I have one. I hear that

the County of Maui church steeple is in danger. And before something happens first and we have to do something after, I wonder if there's any way that -- I mean we don't -- do we invite people to come?

MR. SOLAMILLO: We can.

2.2

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: We can, okay. In this case, I would like to invite the church to come so they can tell us what's happening. And because I think that is perhaps the single most important corner of Wailuku. And Wailuku being very important to Maui, I think it would be a disservice to our community if something tragic happened and potentially if the steeple in its condition could collapse.

And we're in a tremendous storm series that's nowhere near over. It's going to be one of the worst winters, or not worst, but strongest winters we've seen in a long time. So, I would like them to come, and perhaps we can discuss -- I don't know what our, what our jurisdiction or purview is, but helping with ideas that the community would participate in fundraising or something to save the structure.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Yeah, the church is actually a contributing building to the Wailuku Historic District.

It's on the Hawaii Register, and I think it's on the National Register as well. It is the anchor building for this district. And I received a telephone call I think six

months ago from a frantic contractor who said that, you know, we had to fast-track a permit through to save the steeple, and I didn't hear back from him. And I've been looking, actually looking at the tower for some time. And it would be good to, if nothing else, to do some factfinding and find out where they are. Because it's a major landmark. It's the landmark for Wailuku.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah.

MR. SOLAMILLO: You can go back to 1850's, and there's wonderful paintings of Wailuku. They all have that church.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah. Thank you, Rhiannon, for bringing that up.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Do we need to have a vote? Do we need to have a motion --

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: No.

MR. SOLAMILLO: -- to put it on the agenda? This is for corporation counsel.

MR. HOPPER: No, I mean you don't always have motions to say your agendas, right?

MR. SOLAMILLO: No, but I think this past year, we were asked to actually vote to put an item on the agenda.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Can we just do the whole discussion and then vote on --

MR. HOPPER: Unless it's a special meeting, I

don't know -- I mean I don't know of any other commission that would vote to put stuff on their agenda. I guess you could. But I mean I'm not familiar with that practice. I mean as long as it's clear what the member is talking about and the Chair is okay with it being put on, I wouldn't see a need for a vote.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Maybe we should do a straw pull and see if all of us agree to have that. Adds a little more credence to it.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: A show of hands. Everybody who thinks it should be on the agenda, yeah. So, it's wanted on the agenda.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I have a question.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: See, we got him in trouble again.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: It's called challenge.

MR. HOPPER: I'm sorry, you would maybe need to -if it's coming before you as a demolition permit, you
probably wouldn't want to have that as a discussion if you
know that's coming as a permit where you're the final
authority over --

MR. SOLAMILLO: It was actually for a repair. It was not a demo.

MR. HOPPER: I mean in the future though if

March 3, 2011

something that's going to happen, if it's in a historic district that you happen to have purview over. I just want to check on that and see what context you would look at it.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Okay.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Stanley, Kahoolawe is part of the County, of course. So, is it in this whole realm, too? I mean do we even think of Kahoolawe? I only say that because I talk story a lot at the airport. And this individual who shall remain nameless asked or said, in essence, that he wished the Commission, you know, years ago would consider -- but then I said let me ask. He said don't ask. But I'm asking anyway. So, is Kahoolawe in this realm?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Actually, it's part of Maui
County, but it is administered by a separate authority, and
we have essentially no jurisdiction.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: It's by the State. Because it was turned over to the State, and then they created the KIRC, is it?

MR. SOLAMILLO: KIRC.

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: So, they do their own thing; they don't come here for any mana'o?

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: That I can't answer. I don't know about that, but I know as far as legislation and rules and stuff like that, that's all done at the

legislation, the State level. Whether they want to come to 1 2 us or involve us I think is up to the legislators and the 3 Reserve Commission. 4 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Interesting, because the 5 person I was talking to is the essence of Kahoolawe, 6 however. 7 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Kanaloa has significance. 8 Kanaloa is its original name. 9 MR. SOLAMILLO: Actually, the Deputy Director is 10 the one to fill everyone in on the details. 11 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Interesting. 12 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other items Commission 13 Members want to have on the agenda? No, okay. What else do 14 we -- what else have we got there, Stanley? 15 MR. SOLAMILLO: Gee, Commissioners' announcements. 16 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any announcements? Other 17 than certainly we'll miss Ms. Molokai commission member. 18 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I need to get your e-mail 19 address. 20 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Keep in touch. 21 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Now we can talk about 22 nonstuffs. 23 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Yes, please. 24 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Anything? 25 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER:

1 COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Well, maybe I'm going 2 backwards. So, this Molokai mana'o bringing commission 3 over, is that like ongoing, or somebody has to put it on the 4 agenda before we forget it? How does it work? 5 MR. SOLAMILLO: I will have to check with the 6 Deputy Director and the Director. 7 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I think we should take the 8 letter at face value and their words that they said today 9 that they realize that there's a charter part of it and the 10 case that we need to go every year and let those things

anything else. Can we?

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: Does it help to follow up and look at current status as to how close we are or they

happen. And in place, we can -- I don't know if we can do

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Well --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are?

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: I just feel like out of mind, you know, out of sight, out of mind.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Maybe we can have an agenda item for the April meeting that just says, hey, Planning Department is targeting going there in October. Can that happen, something like that?

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Why don't we ask that question at the next meeting.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Will the Deputy Director be

here at the next meeting? 1 2 MR. SOLAMILLO: I don't know. I can ask if you 3 would like. 4 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Just so we have an idea of 5 about kind of conceptually when something may happen. 6 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I think from what I heard --7 I'm certainly not opposed to that. But from what I heard is 8 that they're getting up to speed, trying to understand 9 what's going on, and they definitely made this a commitment. 10 And to tag them next month and go, so when. I think maybe 11 wait until May. 12 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: I think after last month's --13 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah. I think maybe --14 yeah, please don't go there. 15 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Ray said to do it. 16 COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Maybe somebody remember to 17 bring it up in May, maybe June. 18 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Rhiannon. 19 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I have a question about Lanai and the wind project that is proposed to go up there. 2.0 21 Did that ever come through here previously before I was on 22 the Commission? 23 MR. SOLAMILLO: Huh-uh. Or did it? 24 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: No. 25 COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Because my question

there's so many people on the island that are upset about the cultural resources in that area specifically. And it's just strange to me that we didn't have any input in that project, and I don't know. I mean it's a privately owned project, but we give input to private owners all the time about cultural resources. So, that was my question.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: My understanding is there is somebody on the island -- as a matter of fact, what's his name? He's not here today.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Warren.

1.6

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Warren, where he's actually helping doing surveys and looking at what's potentially out there. So, I'm assuming that's the first stage of, you know, looking at something in its potential reasons to come to the Commission. I don't think he's working on coming to the Commission, but they are not just going, okay, we're going to build it. They're like what's up there. They did aerial photographs.

They've done some groundwork, and they're seeing some things. And that's where I know he's at with it. I don't know. Is it a question we need to ask?

MR. SOLAMILLO: I'll look into it.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: The other one to look into,

Stanley, is the -- I can't remember the name of it. I think

it's the Awahi Wind Farm that's planned for Ulupalakua.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: What?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: There's a wind farm planned for out there that hasn't been -- I mean it's private.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Is it just wind, or is it solar, too?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: There's another one out there that's solar. I don't know. I'm not sure. But those are both on large land owner properties.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Yeah, I think the ranch has entered into an agreement with some Shell Electrical, I think it is.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, that's I think the wind farm.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: The wind farm at Ulupalakua.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: And there's a solar

component, which I've heard of. And I don't know if that's

the same project or a different project or what.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: They're in the process of looking into developing it. That's their agreement. So, my understanding they're doing the business end before they do the actual development and permit and things like that. And also, because there are problems with this one here. You know, the type of wind generators it has doesn't work well all the time. So, I think they're trying to source those things out.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: We had testimony one time from a woman named Dawn Chang from Kuiwalu, and she came to talk to us about rodents and rodenticide. And she is representing, I believe, the mediation between the company on Lanai that's developing the wind farm and the residents I guess, and so if there's any questions about the project.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, we should probably -we're probably doing too much discussion on all this for
something that's not on the agenda. But let's have this be
on the agenda next time. And then we can --

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I was just wondering if we were going to put it on the agenda, would we invite somebody like that to speak about it?

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, I guess we could, except she's off island. At least get it on the agenda.

MR. SOLAMILLO: I'll ask corporation counsel. Talking about a potential project without the applicant being present, I don't think that's -- but that's corporation counsel's --

MR. HOPPER: Now it's not on the agenda. And if you've got potential applications, I know you want to discuss things. But the last thing you need is to have, you know, the Board have a discussion without the application before you and then have someone say you -- by default, things approved without your comments because, you know,

you've had the meeting earlier. And just that's the only concern. I don't know if there's an application coming up.

2.1

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I don't think there is.

MR. HOPPER: I mean it's the same thing I would advise the Planning Commission. If there was a project that may need an SMA permit in the future or something, I wouldn't recommend talking extensively about that project until it came before them, if that's the situation.

I mean that's, you know, it's just a word of caution. And again, this item is not on the agenda and maybe Stan can look and see or someone can look and see if there's any pending applications or if it looks like there is a permit or some kind of approval that would be needed. I'm not familiar with all the details.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Maybe it could just be sort of a fact check, or not a fact check, but just to see if you can find anything out about it at all. And it doesn't need to be anything lengthy, discussion. It could just be a report back and say, hey, their project may be occurring. I know you don't anything --

MR. SOLAMILLO: I think what I'm going to end up doing, because of the -- and this actually goes back to the church issue as well. I'm going to have the clear it with the Director and the Deputy Director.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. Very good. Brandis.

new Planning Director, I felt like I wanted to go speak with him because he sounds very prodevelopment, which I understand that because of being on the other side and how long it takes to get permits here. But at the same time, I think that Maui is very special and kind of warrants the extra steps that people have to go through.

And I didn't know if as a -- as a body, we would want to kind of give recommendations like we actually want to look at these things even if you don't think there's something that we would want to look at.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Is this something we can discuss now, or would we need to put this on the agenda?

MR. HOPPER: You aren't supposed to have any -- any item you discuss is supposed to be on the agenda. I know there's some discussions here about what you want on your agenda and stuff like that. Now is the time to have that discussion as to what you want to have on. So, you have some discussion of here is a good thing to put on the agenda.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Maybe that could be a discussion item.

MR. HOPPER: I mean you're talking about it seems like your whole commenting authority, which you could look at code amendments, or you could look at in the existing

code kind of types of things -- letting Stan know what types of things you would like the department to bring to you if it has discretion to bring them to you.

So, yeah, again, something on another agenda I think would be good. I mean the public might want to come in and say, hey, we really want you to look at Y, X and Z or something like that.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: But I'm thinking the wind farms and everything could go kind of under that heading.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: How would that be placed into an agenda item? Any ideas?

MR. SOLAMILLO: You could place it like we placed (inaudible) and hybrid houses and all those things. Because essentially, how did these types of developments impact the environment as well as what are the cultural impacts of -- because it was the same range as the cultural impact of dismantling the shrine. There are unanticipated consequences sometimes.

So, maybe it's looking at things like that. But again, as I said, and will continue to say everything must be run past the Director and the Deputy Director.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Well, talk with them, and maybe if you could just have a report back next time about that, those sorts of -- that conversation about those topics.

MR. SOLAMILLO: Okay.

1.8

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Does that sound like a plan, everybody?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: I kind of get it.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: I'm really frustrated. I feel like corporation counsel is saying we can't even discuss things that could potentially be on the agenda. So, how do you justify it being on the agenda without saying why you think it's important or who should even come that day? I feel like we're so delayed all the time because we say, oh, maybe we'll talk about it, and maybe we'll put it on the agenda.

And when it gets on the agenda, maybe the right person isn't there because we couldn't say who is a good person. I appreciate your clarity, but --

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I would like to have a word about discussion. Because to discuss takes more than one person. What I see him trying to say, and you can definitely correct me if I'm wrong, I'm extremely dangerous, is that you can say I would like to discuss the wind farm on Lanai and our next agenda item, and my reason is. As long as nobody comes back and says, well, I disagree or I think this, or there's a law that states that, we're not having a discussion, just making a statement. Am I correct?

MR. HOPPER: In those discussions if you make any statements that if that project comes before you later on, you're not going to be able to vote on that project if the statement is something like -- that could have you recused for that. I'm trying to have the Board be able to maintain its ability to vote on projects, and I've seen that happen before.

It's happened in Maui County before. There's been court cases on it. That's why I'm advising you. It's legal advice. You can take it. I can't control, you know, what you have on your agendas, but I can give you advice on what -- what I -- and I don't even know if it is an issue. And I could see really no reason why that would be a discretionary permit that you would have authority over in the future anyway.

Does it need a demolition permit? It's nowhere near on the County historic districts, which there's three of them, and they're all on Maui island, so I don't know why it would come before you as actually a formal project where you would have final authority over. You know, we would want to see why it's something that is within, you know, your purview in the first place as well, with the code and seeing is it the type of project you would normally review.

If you want to have a discussion and you think that there are no permits or anything that could possibly

come before you, you could do that. It's just that I don't know if there's a risk of that happening in the future.

1.8

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: So, what would you suggest if we wanted to discuss the cockroach population on the Kaahumanu Avenue in the fourth corner on the sixth brick? How would we -- I'm not trying to be rude or anything. I'm just trying to -- now I'm on your side. I'm like I don't get it. How do we bring it up without violating any rules?

Do we say, okay, I think we should kind of look into this? Is that where we just end it as far as a suggestion for it being on the agenda?

MR. HOPPER: You can have it on your agenda. I'm just saying there are risks in doing that.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I see, okay. Even if we put it on the agenda.

MR. HOPPER: Cockroach population would be something that's not board business. You could have a discussion on that without the Sunshine rule. All of you could go outside the room and discuss that now since that's not board business, and it's not pursuant to the Sunshine rule.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: But with the potential of other things that may require a permit, we probably just say can we look into it and leave it alone?

MR. HOPPER: I don't know if the project is ever

going to come before you. Stan, you know, brought that issue up.

2.5

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Actually, I think she brought it up.

MR. HOPPER: Well, I think Stan brought up the issue of it somehow maybe in the future being something you would review formally. That was the concern that I have. It's not of you never discussing the issue. It's that if you want to have an informal discussion, go ahead. I think I've been, you know, pretty thorough in my, you know, description of the issue. You can decide if you want to have it on your agenda or not. It's up to you.

COMMISSIONER U'U: I just need to respond. I've been in cases where people would side prior to giving the correct information and not a cited information. So, what we need to do is look at the correct information that's given to us and look at it in a fair manner and not be pre-sided prior to any discussion, or you're going to be open to one lawsuit or subject yourself to being recused and not being part of the topic.

And I think that's what he's saying is you're requesting for someone to speak, and I'm talking about someone on behalf of something that may be sided and not fair. And that would sway or potentially step in the wrong direction of someone commenting something that's not in our

purview at the time, but it would be looking at unfair or sided. And we all should be fair.

And we ran into that, and it's not a good place to be. And he has to protect us, so he's giving comment of, hey, you don't want to go there. It's been done before. It has a past and a history, and you've got to be careful. Or when you step out of bounds, you could be on your own.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Verna.

2.1

COMMISSIONER MARQUEZ: So, going beyond you being facetious, by the way, Stan's possible next step is he keeps saying he can check into it, check into it. So, as a Commission, why don't we follow the we can check into it, because that would be within the realm of what we do and not questioning the law or anything. You know, caution on the safe side. I mean that's what Stan recommended is he can take it to somebody and check on it.

That's a beginning. Because, yeah, I agree with all of you watch what you folks do here.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: And thank you, sir, for your patience with us. We should thank him again though.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Rhiannon.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: So, perhaps I'm misunderstanding what is within our scope. Because you just said because there's nothing to demolish and it's nowhere near a historic area, it wouldn't have anything to do with

this Commission. But we've had presentations from you, Stan, about traditional cultural properties and intellectual properties.

And so then how -- can I just personally understand is the corporation counsel correct if it doesn't come for anything, anything at all, not just --

MR. HOPPER: I'm referring to things where you would have final approval authority over. That's different than what's actually in your part of your mission. Your mission can be a lot broader than you what you actually have final approval authority over, which is demolitions and other permitting within the County historic district.

And then you have -- I think obviously substantially you've spent time in giving recommendations to people that might not be in that particular historic district. But that could be, you know, involuntarily come before you, or Stan basically seeks comments from you as a planner. I brought up the issue of some kind of discretionary authority, or it's something like that because Stan mentioned it could be a potential project that an applicant can come with before.

And I would defer to his expertise as far as the number of different things that you could see. So, that's the only reason I gave you that word of caution. I'm not -- you know, I'm not certainly making things up. I've seen the

problems happen before, not saying that's happened with this Commission or that it's going to happen. That was the issue. It's not true that only the historic districts is where you have your, you know, your mission.

But that's I think primarily where you have your final approval authority. I think there's, you know, nomination powers that the Commission has, which could extend beyond that as well. But I'm just not sure if this is a particular area of where you would have final approval authority. If you don't have that final approval authority and it's just as a discussion and there's absolutely no chance this could come before you for any other permit, then, you know, there's less of a chance of having that problem.

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Thank you.

MR. SOLAMILLO: I just want to offer one comment. The issue of Kaahumanu Church is probably a how can we help scenario.

MR. HOPPER: Yeah.

MR. SOLAMILLO: And our concern because it is the anchor for Wailuku Historic District, and there has been indications that structurally it's challenged with the bell tower now. And that was probably something I will look into and see, you know, if the administration wishes to pursue it at least on a factfinding mission or some sort of

factfinding exercise.

We have nominations that have been approved both at the state level and at the federal level. One in particular is the Hana bridges. But we haven't taken it to where our Wailuku and Lahaina districts are, so there's kind of like a whole series of things that this Commission can actually act on, because control from the National Register and Hawaii Register, our ability to protect resources is not as great perhaps as if they were a local historic district.

So, you can consider that as being additional things which may be placed on the agenda as well. But I mean it's trying to -- that works towards your broader concerns about how do we protect cultural resources. Those are things that probably I was going to discuss with the administration about bringing to this Commission.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. So, you will get back to us?

MR. SOLAMILLO: I will get back to you.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: And we can decide if we want to have something be more concrete and placed on the agenda. Is that okay, Rhiannon?

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Yes.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: At this juncture?

COMMISSIONER CHANDLER: Yes.

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Just so I'm understanding, it sounds like it's not a good idea for us to bring up a lot of agenda items just ourselves; it would be much better for

CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Or qualitative things like discussing the historic nature of Lahaina Town or whatever it may be; whereas, it's not dealing with say a particular project that may or may not come before us.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: But we would still be prebiased at that point, right, if we decided that we want to save every historic house in Lahaina?

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I think we've already determined that by being here.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: Okay.

us to react to what comes to us?

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: The problem is we can't, so we have to determine through input.

MR. HOPPER: If Stan or staff has discretion of which to bring forward to you, I think you could certainly give guidance as to what types of things you would want to see. I don't want to speak for Stan, but I think the Department would appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: I think, too, it also avoids no agenda items as a reason to not have -- because we do have a lot that we would -- yeah, so I think putting this forward as far as questions go, if it's on the list, even if

we don't have time for it, then it can be deferred, which 1 2 means we will always have an agenda item in place. 3 Because we've had a few meetings cancel because 4 nothing on the agenda. 5 COMMISSIONER SARICH: I have no idea even how to 6 say this at this point. But can we have an agenda item of 7 understanding all of these things like Hana bridges that 8 maybe we should be working on? 9 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: In terms Hana bridges, 10 because that is --11 MR. SOLAMILLO: You means priorities? COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: That's one that's come and 12 13 passed already, right? CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Yeah, that went before the 14 15 CRC before, yeah. 16 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Okay, I didn't know that. 17 MR. SOLAMILLO: But we don't have a local district. We still have to go to the next step, which would 18 19 be to create a local district. 20 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. So, are you suggesting 21 or not suggesting, but what it's sounding like to me is 22 there might be a need to have local districts, and maybe that is a very good discussion item I think for an agenda 23 24 item.

COMMISSIONER SARICH: So, in the next meeting we

25

would like to discuss potential other local districts. 1 2 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Local historic districts in 3 the County. 4 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Besides Lahaina and Wailuku. 5 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: And the third one? 6 MR. SOLAMILLO: I don't remember. Was it a 7 district that I just brought up? 8 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: What, Stanley? 9 MR. SOLAMILLO: Was it a district I just brought 10 I brought up Hana bridges. I don't recall another 11 district that I brought up. 12 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: No, but there are three. 13 MR. SOLAMILLO: Three? 14 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Three historic districts in 15 Maui County. 16 MR. SOLAMILLO: Lahaina, Historic District Number 17 one; two; and then Wailuku, which is three. CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Creating another historic 18 19 district beyond any of those, a discussion item. 20 COMMISSIONER SARICH: Discussing other potential 21 historic districts. Is that my agenda item? 22 CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: Okay. I think that's a great 23 agenda item. Anything else? That will be very -- that's a 24 very good topic. Okay. Well, let's see. Stanley, what's 25 the next agenda item?

MR. SOLAMILLO: Well, I'm only going to make that caveat one more time. I will have to clear it with the Director and the Deputy Director, and so, yeah, so with that last topic that you suggested, all agendas go to the administration for a review and comment. COMMISSIONER HUTAFF: Tell them Ray sent you. MR. SOLAMILLO: Okay. That concludes everything, except the announcement of our next meeting date, which is April 7, 2011. Mahalo to everyone here. CHAIR FREDERICKSEN: All right. All those in favor of having the meeting come to a close, say aye. COMMISSIONERS: Aye. (The meeting ended at 1:00 p.m.)

CERTIFICATION

I, RACHELLE PRIMEAUX, Notary Public for the State of Hawaii, certify:

That the proceedings contained herein were taken by me in machine shorthand and were thereafter reduced to print under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription; that the foregoing represents, to the best of my ability, a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings had in the foregoing matter.

I further certify that I am neither attorney for any of the parties hereto nor in any way concerned with the cause.

Dated this March, 2011.

NOTARY PUBLIC, State of Hawaii

My commission expires 6/14/2012